

RECEIVED

MAY 1 4 1997

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

ATTORNEYS AT LAW, ILP

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

May 14, 1997

via Hand Delivery

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

Metrocall, Inc.

Motion for Stay of Second Report and Order in WT Docket No. 96-18/and PP Docket No. 93-253

Dear Mr. Caton:

Metrocall, Inc. ("Metrocall"), by its attorneys, hereby respectfully requests that the Commission act upon its Motion for Stay (the "Motion") of the rules adopted in the Revision of Part 22 and Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Future Development of Paging Systems, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, FCC 97-59 (released February 24, 1997) (the "Second R&O"). The Motion was filed with the Commission on April 11, 1997, concurrently with Metrocall's Petition for Partial Reconsideration or Clarification of the Second R&O.

The <u>Second R&O</u> specified that the new rules would be come effective 60 days after publication in the Federal Register; Federal Register publication occurred on March 12, 1997. Consequently, the new rules have already gone into effect, on May 11, 1997, without the Commission having ruled on Metrocall's Motion.

Metrocall respectfully submits that the Commission should rule on the Motion promptly, and, that for the reasons given in the Motion, the requested stay of the new rules should be granted. A number of parties have sought reconsideration of various provisions of the new rules, and appeals of the Second R&O have been consolidated and are pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. See Metamora Telephone Company v. FCC, Case No. 97-1107; and Benkelman Telephone Co., et al. v. FCC, Case No. 97-1245. Numerous provisions of the Second R&O have been challenged, including the provisions relating to the dismissal of pending paging applications, the market areas for wide-area paging licenses, and design of paging auctions. A stay is necessary to preserve the status quo while the FCC considers the legal challenges to the Second R&O raised in the Petitions for Reconsideration. In particular, dismissing pending paging applications, or proceeding to auction licenses under the new rules, would only result in burdening applicants and the Commission, and further delaying the issuance

INTERNET: jandjlaw@aol.com

Main Office 1019 19th Street, NW Fourteenth Floor Washington, DC 20036 202-457-0100 Fax 202-457-0186

Potomac, MD No. of Copies Rendria, VA List ABODE William F. Caton, Acting Secretary May 14, 1997 Page 2

of wide-area paging licenses, if the challenged rule provisions are reversed following administrative or judicial review.

Moreover, in light of the filing of the Petitions for Reconsideration, the FCC requested on April 28, 1997 that the Court hold the Benkelman appeal in abeyance. At least two parties, the Personal Communications Industry Association ("PCIA") and PowerPage, Inc. ("PowerPage") have opposed the FCC's motion, on the grounds that the FCC gave no indication that it would stay auctions of paging frequencies while it considered the Petitions for Reconsideration. See Comments of Personal Communications Industry Association on Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance (filed May 8, 1997) ("PCIA Comments"); PowerPage, Inc. Opposition to Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance (filed May 9, 1997) ("PowerPage Opposition"). PCIA further expressed concern that the FCC would proceed with the dismissal of pending applications before considering the arguments raised on reconsideration. See PCIA Comments at 2. PowerPage noted that, should the FCC proceed with paging auctions during the pendency of the petitions for reconsideration, and the Court does not have an opportunity to consider the appeals until sometime thereafter, the parties to this proceeding would effectively be deprived of relief even if they prevail before the Court. See PowerPage Opposition at 2.

Both of those parties stated that they would have no objection to holding the pending appeals in abeyance if the FCC agreed not to proceed with paging auctions (and, in PCIA's case, with the dismissal of pending paging applications) until the Petitions for Reconsideration have been acted upon. See PCIA Comments at 2-3; PowerPage Opposition at 2-3. A grant of Metrocall's Motion would therefore eliminate the grounds for the objections to the FCC's Motion to Hold Case in Abeyance, and would provide assurances to the Court and the parties before it that the status quo will not change pending the Commission's disposition of the Petitions for Reconsideration in this proceeding.

For these reasons, and the reasons stated in its Motion, Metrocall respectfully requests that the Commission expeditiously act upon the Motion for Stay, and stay the effectiveness of the rules adopted in the <u>Second R&O</u> pending action on the Petitions for Reconsideration in this proceeding.

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary May 14, 1997 Page 3

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter, kindly contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Frederick M. Joyce / Counsel to Metrocall, Inc

FMJ/cm

cc: Attached Service List (via first class mail, except as otherwise indicated) F:\CLIENTS\RJ78\FCC5-13.LTR

SERVICE LIST WT Docket No. 96-18 and PP Docket No. 93-253

Chairman Reed Hundt*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844 Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Daniel Phythyon, Chief*
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW., Room 5002
Washington, D.C. 20554

David Furth, Chief*
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7002
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mika Savir, Esq.*
Legal Branch
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7130
Washington, D.C. 20554

Jay Kitchen, President Robert Hoggarth, Vice President PCIA 500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

ITS *
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 246
Washington, DC 20554

A. Thomas Carroccio, Esq. Bell, Boyd & Lloyd 1615 L Street, NW., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

John L. Crump d/b/a ACE Communications 11403 Waples Mill Road, P.O. Box 3070 Oakton, VA 22124

George V. Wheeler, Esq. Koteen & Naftalan 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald J. Evans, Esq. McFadden, Evans & Sill 1627 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20006

Heather Hippsley, Esq. Federal Trade Commission 6th Street and Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20580

Lucille M. Mates, Esq.
Pacific Bell
140 New Montgomery Street, Rm 1526
San Francisco, CA 94105

Veronica M. Ahern, Esq. Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle Washington, D.C. 20005

Michael J. Shortley, III, Esq. 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

William L. Fishman, Esq. Sullivan & Worcester, LLP 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036

Alan S. Tilles, Esq. Meyer, Faller, Weisman & Rosenberg 4400 Jenifer Street, N.W., Suite 380 Washington, D.C. 20015

Jeanne M. Walsh, Esq. Kurtis & Associates, P.C. 2000 M Street, N.W, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Jack Richards, Esq. Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W., Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Thomas Gutierrez, Esq.
J. Justin McClure, Esq.
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W., 12th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

William J. Franklin, Esq. William J. Franklin, Chartered 1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-3814

Carl W. Northrop, Esq. 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 10th Floor Washington, D.C. 20004 James L. Wurtz, Esq. Mariyaret E. Garber, Esq. Pacific Telesis Group - Washington 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004

Judith St. Ledger-Roty, Esq. Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006

Phillip L. Spector, Esq. Thomas A. Boasberg, Esq. Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Amelia L. Brown, Esq. Henry A. Solomon, Esq. Haley, Bader & Potts 4350 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 900 Arlington, VA 22203-1633

George L. Lyon, Jr.. Esq. David Nace, Esq. Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W., 12th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Timothy E. Welch, Esq. Hill & Welch 1330 New Hampshire Ave., NW, Suite 1 13 Washington, D.C. 20036

Harold Mordkofsky, Esq.
John Prendergast, Esq.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson &
Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037

Kevin O'Brien, Pres. O'Brien Communications 5054 Rapidan Place Annandale, VA 22003

Jill Abeshouse Stern, Esq.
Robert J. Cynkar, Esq.
Janice H. Ziegler, Esq.
Edmund D. Daniels, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

David L. Hill, Esq. Audrey P. Rasmussen, Esq. O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P. 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006

Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr., Esq. Brown & Schwaninger 1835 K Street, N.W. Suite 650 Washington, D.C. 20006

Kathleen Holden, Esq. Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Jerome K. Blask, Esq.
Daniel E. Smith, Esq.
Gurman, Blask & Freedman, Chtd.
1400 16th Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Kenneth E. Hardman, Esq. Moir & Hardman 2000 L Street NW #512 Washington, DC 20036

^{*} denotes hand delivery